1 2	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney HENRY Z. CARBAJAL III		
	JEFFREY A. SPIVAK		
3	Assistant United States Attorneys 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401		
4	Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000		
5	Facsimile: (559) 497-4099		
6	Attomosys for Plaintiff		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
8			
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:22-CR-00214-ADA-BAM	
12	, and the second		
13	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;	
4	V.	ORDER	
15	TERRANCE JOHN COX, Defendant.	DATE: July 12, 2023	
16		TIME: 1:00 p.m. COURT: Hon. Barbara A. McAuliffe	
17	This case is set for status conference on July 12, 2023. As set forth below, the parties now move		
18	by stipulation, to continue the status conference t	o November 8, 2023, at 1:00 p.m. and to exclude the	
19	time period between July 12, 2023 and Novembe	er 8, 2023 under the Speedy Trial Act.	
20	STIP	PULATION	
21	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and		
22	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
23	1. By previous order, this matter was	s set for status on July 12, 2023.	
24	2. By this stipulation, defendant now	moves to continue the status conference until	
25	November 8, 2023, and to exclude time between	July 12, 2023, and November 8, 2023, under 18	
26	U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(ii), B(iv) [Local Codes T2 and T4].		
27	3. The parties agree and stipulate, an	d request that the Court find the following:	
28	a) The government has repres	sented that the discovery associated with this case is	

20

22

24

25

26 27

28

///

voluminous and includes large amounts of digital forensic evidence, several hundreds of thousands of pages of financial records and reports, evidence obtained by search warrant, and extensive other evidence. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying. The government has also copied digital evidence onto a hard drive provided by the defense, and produced that material to the defense.

- b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to consult with his client, to review the current charges, to conduct further investigation and research related to the charges, to receive and continue to review voluminous discovery including any supplemental discovery, to discuss potential resolution with government counsel, and to evaluate potential pretrial motions. Counsel for defendant has made substantial progress in reviewing relevant discovery, but requires additional time to analyze produced material due primarily to the volume of discovery and its complexity, including hundreds of thousands of pages of Bates stamped material and large amounts of digital evidence. Thus, a continuance of the status conference is necessary for continuity of counsel and to facilitate defense investigation and preparation.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
  - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of July 12, 2023 to November 8, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.\(\sqrt{3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(ii), B(iv)}) [Local Codes T2 and T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

## Case 1:22-cr-00214-ADA-BAM Document 28 Filed 07/06/23 Page 3 of 3

1	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the	
2	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial	
3	must commence.	
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
5		
6	Dated: July 5, 2023	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney
7		·
8		/s/ HENRY Z. CARBAJAL III HENRY Z. CARBAJAL III Assistant United States Attorney
10 11	Dated: July 5, 2023	/s/ MARK W. COLEMAN
12		MARK W. COLEMAN Counsel for Defendant
13		Terrance John Cox
14		
15		<u>ORDER</u>
16		
17		
18	U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(ii), B(iv).	8
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
20	Dated: <b>July 6, 2023</b>	/s/Barbara A. McAuliffe
21	Bucca. <u>841, 6, 2020</u>	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
22		
23		
24		
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>		
25		